

From: [Shade, Kevin](#)
To: [Capuyan, Stephen](#)
Subject: FW: Map- Viability of PRPs in the San Mateo Creek Basin
Date: Friday, June 28, 2013 8:24:00 AM
Attachments: [SanMateoBasin_MinePRPs.pdf](#)

Any thoughts?

From: Lister, Melody
Sent: Thursday, June 27, 2013 5:53 PM
To: Shade, Kevin
Cc: Danielson, Jeff
Subject: Map- Viability of PRPs in the San Mateo Creek Basin

Hi Kevin,
See what you think about the attached map. I've tried to separate the green colors for the *Rio Algom* and *Viable Company* categories, but they might look similar.
Let me know what you think.
Thanks!
Melody

From: Danielson, Jeff
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2013 4:45 PM
To: Lister, Melody
Subject: FW:

This week if possible.

Jeffery Danielson
Lockheed Martin
U.S. EPA Region 6
GIS Support Team
214.665.8559
danielson.jeff@epa.gov

From: Shade, Kevin
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2013 1:02 PM
To: Danielson, Jeff
Cc: Purcell, Mark; Capuyan, Stephen
Subject:

Hi Jeff,

Here's the excel sheet I said I would pass along. We would like to have the alluvial map you developed for Mark used with this data to show PRPs. The classes that should be displayed:

Excel Sheet	Color	Map Legend
NR	Grey	Not Researched



9635282

RA	Green	Rio Algom (Viable)
Yes	Different Green	Viable Company
Partial	Orange	Partial Viability
No	Red	Non-Viable Company
KM	Different Red	Kerr McGee

Same size map. I have used the entire sheet, so some of these are outside of the area. The same mines should be displayed that you already have showing. I left the mine names to allow a check to be done to make sure the NMED IDs match up to the existing dB you are already using. If they don't let me know.

Thanks
Kevin